

# **Evaluation of strategies for DRB1 Sequence-Based Typing**

Dong-Feng Chen, Angelica DeOliveira,  
Sharon Peplinski, Nancy L. Reinsmoen,

Department of Pathology,  
Duke University Medical Center, Durham,  
NC, USA

To establish Sequence-Based Typing (SBT) technology for our clinical DRB1 high resolution typing, we evaluated two different SBT strategies:

**Generic amplification SBT** and

**Group-specific SBT.**

20 external blind testing samples from a cord blood project were sequenced using the **generic amplification SBT** where was one generic multiplex amplification followed by three sequencing reactions including a codon 86 GTG-specific reaction for each sample.

**Allele level typing results were obtained immediately in only 4 (20%) of these 20 samples.**

**5 (25%) samples with ambiguous typing results caused by the polymorphic motifs located between codon 10-14 where the primers bind at.**

In 11 (55%) samples the cis/trans combination of the polymorphic motifs within the sequencing region of two haplotypes mainly contributed to the ambiguities.

All of these ambiguities could be resolved by performing **additional testing** (e.g. SSOP, SSP, or group-specific SBT)

The group-specific amplification SBT we evaluated contains for each sample. 16 amplification reactions including a positive and a negative control followed by two sequencing reactions

**Study of the specificities of the group-specific intron primers indicated that this strategy enables us to obtain immediately allele level typing results in all 20 samples.**

This conclusion was confirmed by the allele level typing results obtained from group-specific amplification SBT of 5 selected samples previously typed by generic amplification SBT with ambiguous results.

In conclusion,  
for allele level DRB1 typing performing the  
**“one-shot”**  
**group-specific amplification SBT** appears  
to be more efficient  
**than running generic amplification SBT**  
**followed by additional testing.**